

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/752,801	01/07/2004	Thomas Alfred Plato	4011.001	5133	
7590 10/19/2005			EXAMINER		
PENDORF &	CUTLIFF	LEVY, NEIL S			
Tampa Office: 5111 Memorial	Highway	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Tampa, FL 33		1615			

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/752,80	1	PLATO ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		NEIL LEVY		1615					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence ac	ddress				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR INCHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING INSIGN OF THE MAILING INTERPRETARIES OF THE MAILING INTERPRE	NG DATE OF TH CFR 1.136(a). In no eve tion. y period will apply and will y statute, cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATION Int, however, may a reply be tim I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of (D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•				
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	1							
		·] This action is no	on-final						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	on of Claims	•							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.								
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	S) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	_								
	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> are subject to restriction as	nd/or election rea	uirement						
		na/or olcollon req	an omorn.						
	on Papers								
	The specification is objected to by the Ex		_						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from the International E	Bureau (PCT Rule	: 17.2(a)).						
* 5	see the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certif	ed copies not receive	ed.					
Attachmen	t(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date									
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ r No(s)/Mail Date	2R\08)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-6,8-11,13, drawn to compositions, classified in class 514, subclass 531.
- II. Claims 7,12, drawn to traps, classified in class 43, subclass 107.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

The compositions of I are independent & patentably distinct from II, as they can be used as coatings on fences, trees, & not require II

.The Group I-II have acquired a separate status it he ad as shown by their different classification, have acquired a separate status in the ad because of their recognized divergent subject matter, the search for any 1 group is not required for any other Group, and a search and examination of the entire application would place an undue burden on the examiner, the present restriction requirement is proper for examination purposes.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: ultimate species of pheromone, grandlure--Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution. on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1,2,4-8,12,13 are generic.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: species of form ,pellet or microsphere or tubules, or --- as of claim 13.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 -13 are generic.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention'. Ultimate species of pesticide: dichlorvos---.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1,2,4-13 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which @re readable upon the elected species. MPEP j 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior ad, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143). ***

Application/Control Number: 10/752,801

Art Unit: 1615

Page 5

Because the above restriction/election requirement is complex, a telephone call to applicant's agent to request an oral election was not made. See M.P.E.P. Sec, 8 1 2 . 0 1 .

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NEIL LEVY whose telephone number is 571-272-0619. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday, 7 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, THURMAN PAGE can be reached on 571-272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NEIL LEVY
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1615